Oracle Database Security

But what about performance?

Stefan Oehrli

Stefan Oehrli – Data Platforms

stefan.oehrli@accenture.com



Tech Architecture Manager


- Since 1997 active in various IT areas
- More than 25 years of experience in Oracle databases
- Focus: Protecting data and operating databases securely
 - Security assessments and reviews
 - Database security concepts and their implementation
 - Oracle Backup & Recovery concepts and troubleshooting

ORACLE

Terraform ASSOCIATE

ORACLI

- Oracle Enterprise User and Advanced Security, DB Vault, ...
- Oracle Directory Services
- Co-author of the book The Oracle DBA (Hanser, 2016/07)







DATA PLATFORMS

WHY? We are the game changer for our client's data platform projects

HOW? Maximum automation, maximum efficiency, maximum quality!

WHAT? We build innovative data platforms based on our blueprints, assets and tools.




3 key benefits

1 Architecture expertise from hands-on projects

2 Delivery of tailor-made data platforms

3 Integrated Teams - Like a Rowing team, perfect alignment and interaction.



Tools and Blueprints

Key enabler for the implementation of modern data platforms at a high speed and quality.

Continuous Optimization

Tools and Blueprints are continuously optimized to the customer and project's needs.

Expertise

Expert group for modern data platforms from technical implementation to project management and organization

Agenda

Or how best to burn down time in your spare time...

- 1 Motivation
- **2** Security vs Performance?
- **3** SQLNet and Authentication
- 4 SecBench and SwingBench
- 5 TDE Use Cases
- 6 General Use Cases
- 7 What's Next?
- 8 Conclusion




Motivation

Why did I start this topic in the first place?


Oracle Database Maximum Security Architecture

All Oracle Security Features and Options at a glance




Various Stakeholder

Everyone has their requirements for database security



Various Stakeholder

Everyone has their requirements for database security



8

Where to start, where to stop?

My journey has been a bit bumpy so far

- Select a **suitable environment**. Cloud or rather on-premises?
- Choosing tools for capturing and measuring key performance indicators
- Definition of appropriate security configurations and use cases
- Define baselines
- Create a suitable workload
- Scripting and automating the bloody thing
- Initiate use case, wait, fix problems and start again (and again,...)
- Describe a framework which can be used to reproduce use cases / workload between different environments and releases.

To be honest that is still going on

Charlie Chaplin in Modern Times (1936). © Roy Export Company Establishment; photograph, the Museum of Modern Art/Film Stills Archive, New York City

Research, Engineering or Development?

I overestimated the effort a bit and I'm still not where I want to be....

88 unique files. 63 files ignored. github.com/AlDanial/cloc	c v 1.96 T=0.12	s (719.4 files	/s, 49774.4 line	s/s)
 Language	files	blank	comment	code
Bourne Shell	26	422	946	2164
SQL	34	186	763	1054
Markdown	23	97	4	277
YAML	1	12	48	54
Bourne Again Shell	1	5	26	28
Text	3	0	0	3
	88	722	1787	 3580



Security vs Performance?

Why and where is performance relevant for database security?

Performance

What is relevant for an environment?

- There are different aspects of performance
 - Many of them depend on each other
- Application owner is primarily interested in **business processes** rather than theoretical benchmarks

An example:

- From a business point of view, the **pure hardware performance** metrics are not necessarily important
- One is more interested in **how long** the business process **takes**.
 - e.g. how many orders are executed per minute, does the analysis take 30 minutes or rather 4 hours?
 - The read/archive performance of an audit trail can be irrelevant whereas a write access to the audit trail has an impact on the business performance
 - Logon time is irrelevant when data processing takes hours



Performance

There is no conclusively correct answer....

- It is important to know the business requirements in advance.
- Implementing security measures on a **critical system** will always have a negative impact.
 - It did work before...
 - Since you enable xyz my report run's slower
- It is advisable if you **know your system** / application
 - It is recommended to have reproducible tests e.g. simple scripts or better full regression tests
 - Consider stuff like Oracle Real Application Testing
- Be prepared to prove impact of changes



ommitStrip.com



SQLNet and Authentication

Or what happens when you change SQLNet and authentication....

Use Case SQL Net Logon Times

The idee behind this is a customer application

- A customer start to use **strong** and **central** database authentication across its environment
 - Oracle Kerberos Authentication with Oracle Centrally Managed Users (CMU)
- Some applications are quite **sensitive** to changes in connection establishment
 - Many but relatively short connection times e.g. a couple of milliseconds
 - It is relevant if a logon times 50ms or 250ms
- **Simple tests** were performed to establish the connection:
 - The test includes 1000 connections samples.
 - Time measurement is done with the help of the SQLNet trace file and network tracing
 - The absolute connection times contain the actual network activity as well as everything that is necessary to establish the connection, i.e. the exchange of keys etc.

SQL Net Test Cases


What did we test...

The following connection tests are made for this purpose:

- **KRB5 (LDAP)** Kerberos with LDAP Oracle names resolution
- KRB5 (Direct) Kerberos authentication with direct connect
- LDAP (KRB5) Password authentication with LDAP Oracle names resolution and Kerberos configured in SQLNet but not used
- Direct (KRB5) Password authentication with direct connect and Kerberos configured in SQLNet but not used
- **LDAP** Password authentication with LDAP Oracle Name resolution.
- **Direct** Password authentication with direct connect.

Inaccuracies due to network caching etc. are likely and cannot be explicitly excluded

SQL Net Logon Times



>

Some conclusions

Is this now an Issue?

- Remote logins usually take about 10ms longer than local connections.
- All connect with *tnsnames.ora* lookup are slightly faster.
- Kerberos based logons are slower due to the extra roundtrip to the KDC i.e. 170-190ms
- Kerberos based logons are more likely to have peaks.
- Regular DB logon are faster but around 15ms slower if the DB server is permanently configured with Kerberos.

Conclusion

- SQLNet with Kerberos has an impact on logon time. This is true for both Kerberos and Password authentication.
- The effect is relatively small with an average of 70ms
- Other SQLNet configurations like network encryption, check summing or similar have much higher impact on the login time.




SecBench and SwingBench

Selected toolset and lab environment

Lab Environment

OCI based Lab... happy terraforming



SwingBench

A tool to stress your Oracle Databases

- Swingbench is a load generator and associated set of utilities
 - Preparation of test data / schemas
 - Run and monitor load tests
 - Analysis of results
- Designed for stress testing various Oracle database releases
 - Currently 12c, 18c, 19c, 21c, 23c
- A comprehensive set of predefined workloads is provided
 - E.g. OrderEntry, SalesHistory, TPC-DS Like, TPC-H Like, JSON, Movie Stream and StressTest
- Java based
- Developed and maintained by Dominic Giles
 - Oracle Database Product Manager

See also https://www.dominicgiles.com/index.html

					SwingBench 2.6.0.1158 (//localhost/soe)			
File Help								
🗎 🖬 💿 🚹				S 🖶 🗶				
Configuration Pret	ferences Output	Events		Transactions Jo	lbs			
User Details Connection Pooling Properties			ld	Class Name	Short Name	Load Ratio	Activate ?	
Username soe				Customer Registratio			20 🗘	✓
Password				Update Customer De			10 🗘	\checkmark
	calhost/soe			Browse Products	com.dom.benchmarking.swingbench.transactions.Browsel		90 🗘	 Image: A start of the start of
				Order Products	com.dom.benchmarking.swingbench.transactions.NewOrd		50 🗘	Image: A state of the state
Driver Type Ora	cle jdbc Driver		~	Process Orders	com.dom.benchmarking.swingbench.transactions.Process		5 🗘	 ✓
Connect to Oracle	Cloud Service			Browse Orders	com.dom.benchmarking.swingbench.transactions.Browse		15 🗸	
Credential File		Chart Preference	Logged on Sessions	16/16				
Load Environmen lumber of Users din. Inter Delay Betwe flax. Inter Delay Betwe		ited Controls	16 ≎ 50 ≎ 200 ≎	140- Response 93- 47- 0- 81- CPU	Time (5 sec Moving Average)	MAA	<u>Mr</u>	6
	Transactions (ms)		0 🗘	8 54-				
/lin. Intra Delay Within							and the second	
	n Transactions (ms)		0 🗘	ge _ 27-	67			
fax. Intra Delay Within			0 🗘	27-				
fax. Intra Delay Withir ogon Delay (millisecor ogon Group	nds)		0 🗘	27- 20- 416808- Disk				
fax. Intra Delay Withir ogon Delay (millisecor ogon Group Vait Till All Sessions L	nds) .og On	false	0 🗘	27- 416808- Disk 5 277872-	30842			
fax. Intra Delay Withir ogon Delay (millisecor ogon Group Vait Till All Sessions L	nds) .og On	false false	0 🗘	27- 20- 416808- Disk	30842			
fax. Intra Delay Within ogon Delay (millisecor ogon Group Vait Till All Sessions L ogoff Post Transaction	nds) .og On		0 \$ 1 \$ ~ ~	27- 416808- Disk 5 277872-	30842			
Max. Intra Delay Within ogon Delay (millisecor ogon Group Vait Till All Sessions L ogoff Post Transaction x. per Reconnect	nds) .og On n		0 🗘 1 🗘 ~	27- 0 416808- Disk 5277872- 27 138936-				
Aax. Intra Delay Withir .ogon Delay (millisecor .ogon Group Vait Till All Sessions L .ogoff Post Transaction X. per Reconnect 3enchmark Run Time (nds) .og On n (hh:min)	false	0 \$ 1 \$ ~ ~	27- 416808- Disk 5277672- 27 138936- 0-				
Min. Intra Delay Within Max. Intra Delay Within Logon Delay (millisecor Logon Group Wait Till All Sessions L Logoff Post Transaction fx. per Reconnect Benchmark Run Time (Benchmark Run Time (nds) og On n [hh:min] (hh:min)	false	0 1 · · · · · · · · · ·	C 27- 416808- Disk C 277872- R 138936- 0- 0- Database 1 0- 0-				
Aax. Intra Delay Within .ogon Delay (millisecon .ogon Group Vait Till All Sessions L .ogoff Post Transaction X. per Reconnect 3enchmark Run Time (tecord Statistics After	nds) og On n [hh:min] (hh:min)	false 0 0		C 27- 0	Time	15-40-27 15-40-24	154023 154041 15	40:50 15:40:59

SecBench

One toolset emerged in the need

- Swingbench tests usualy do run for a while
- Security use cases do **require** some **configuration** e.g. enable DBV, set audit policies etc
- It is always necessary to create a defined starting position
 - E.g. see blog post by Dominic Should I Restore The Database After Each Benchmark Run?

Solution approach of SecBench

- Use of a container database
- Initially create a SecBench **seed database**
 - required configuration, database options, tablespaces, Swingbench schema etc.
- **Clone** the seed database for each benchmark respectively security use case
- **Configure** security use case in PDB e.g. enable TDE, Audit etc.
- See https://github.com/oehrlis/secbench

Grafana and Prometheus

A perfect couple for simple host monitoring


- Oracle and Swingbench provide a lot of information:
 - Performance details create using AWR snapshots
 - Swingbench does collect information like transaction times e.g. TPS, TPM, Response times etc.
- However, there is a need to collect information on the system/hardware resources

Solution approach

- Docker based Setup using Grafana and Prometheus
- Prometheus node explorer to collect system information
- Setup done in a couple of minutes
- Run's on my bastion host in the cloud environment
- Simple way to compare if more or less OS resources are used

Dashboard

Simple Dashboard based for Node Explorer





TDE Use Cases


In search of the Achilles heel of TDE...

Performance Test


Not quite recent tests with Oracle 12...

- Swingbench tests with Oracle OrderEntry schema (OE) and runtime parameters
- Scale: 50, All indexes, No partitioning
- Configuration of the tests :
 - Runtime: 30 minutes, min/max intra transaction think time: 1/6
 - Number of users: 10,20,...,90,100
- Measured values
 - Number of transactions per second (TX per sec)
 - CPU time used


Transactions per second for 10,...90,100 users with and without AES-NI library.




Average transactions per sec for encrypted / unencrypted tablespaces




Transactions per second for 7GB SGA




Transactions per second for 70GB SGA



CPU time for TX details



CPU consumption in comparison for the AES algorithms



- In any case, TDE leads to a noticeably higher CPU utilization even with Hardware Cryptographic Acceleration
- Transactions per second are only different with a TDE tablespace above a certain workload Hardware Cryptographic Acceleration reduces CPU load by up to 30%.
- If applications generate more than 60% host CPU utilization at peak times, a CPU power upgrade may be necessary.
- It is recommended to do application **specific testing** before going live.



General Use Cases

Is AVDF a Product for your Database Environment?

Regular and Network

The basic to start

Regular Use Case

- Default database setup without any security measures e.g. no audit
- Serves as a reference for other setups


Network Use Case

- SQL Net native encryption
- SQL Net integrity checks with checksumm

Unified Audit


Various audit use cases and configurations

- Simple / default unified audit policies
- CIS recommended unified audit policies
- Admin (SYSDBA, DBA) full statement audit
- Full statement audit for schema owner
- Legacy audit without unified audit
- Unified audit with a per statement condition



Unified Audit

A couple of audit policies



Audit Use Case CDB / Common Users


Oracle Transparent Data Encryption (TDE)

Tests and Tampering with Tablespace Encryption

- Encryption of tablespace used to store the Swingbench test Schema SOE
- Testing of different configurations and algorithms
 - AES 256 with Hardware Acceleration (default)
 - AES 256 without Hardware Acceleration
 - 3DES 168 legacy encryption algorithm
 - ARIA 256

Try to find things like

- Impact when using TDE
- Best encryption algorithm
- Idea for system setup / use case




Oracle Database Vault

Protect SEO Schema using Database Vault

- Implement Database Vault in CDB\$ROOT and PDB's
- Protect SOE schema with a DB vault Realm
- Simple initial setup

Try to find things like

- Impact when using DB Vault
- Particular issue with specific configurations




A secure Oracle Database

A first approach to put all together

- Configure SQLNetwork encryption and checksum
- Unified Audit with full CIS and Admin audit
- Transparent Data Encryption (TDE) for SOE data tablespace
- DB Vault Protection of SOE Schema

Try to find things like

- Impact when setup a secure configuration
- Behaviour when everything is combined
- Insides on potential configuration and good practice



So now what's all about this security and performance?

2500 2232 2152 1907 1893 1885 1885 regular 2000 1811 ■network ∎audit basic Transaction per Seccond 434 1398 1415 ∎audit cis 42, 1500 1281 ∎audit admin ∎audit full audit_legacy 1000 790 ■ audit_session 717 598 ∎tde_3des168 ∎tde aes256 500 ■tde_aes256_nel 88 73 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 ■dbv secure 0 32 256 16 64 128 512 Number of concurrent Users (16-512)


TX per Second (details)

So now what's all about this security and performance?

2500 ---- regular 2000 ----network ----audit_basic Transaction per Seccond → audit cis 1500 -----audit_admin ---audit_full ----audit_legacy 1000 ----audit_session → tde_aes256 500 -----tde_aes256_nel dbv 0 16 32 64 128 256 512 Number of concurrent Users (16-512)

TX per Second (details)


So now what's all about this security and performance?




TX per Second (details)

Number of concurrent Users (16-512)

How does my CPU's look like?



And the system load?





What's Next?

Ideas and improvements for the future...

Ideas

Where is the journey going?

- Find the correct reference System (System Type, CPU, Memory, Storage)
 - Is a **physical system** required in any case?
- Finding a better and representative workload e.g. Workload type
 - Scale respective size of workload schema (25G or better 250GB)
 - Tune workload execution e.g. usual SwingBench tuning
 - Special cases must be analyzed in detail
- Fully **automate setup** of use cases as well execution
 - Make sure it is automated and repeatable including the data evaluation
 - Allow to run it on different Oracle version and patch levels
- Define additional database security use cases
 - Oracle Centrally Managed Users (CMU), Oracle Virtual Private Database (VPD), Oracle Fine Grained Auditing (FGA),...

Learnings...

Have I really learned anything?

- The idea is good. But it needs **more lead time**?
- **Shell** scripting is too convenient for me. And again I missed to check if there would have been **reasonable alternatives** e.g. Ansible,...
- OCI Bare Metal System is **relatively quick** to deploy, but it **does cost a bit**
- The whole thing would be a good **interdisciplinary** topic... let's team up!
 - Where were incorrect assumptions made?
 - What side effects were overlooked?
- Will my technical presentations be ready 4 weeks in advance? Nah, I don't think so 😒
- My wife doesn't like it when I **crash** a Lab system at home and swear.



Conclusion

Now, what about the performance of security features?

Conclusion

Is there a performance formular for security features / options?

- There is **no clear** answer
- The impact **largely depends** on the environment, e.g. workload, base load, peak load, CPUs, memory, IO, etc.

Important takeaways:

- Do your homework
 - How so you need which Features?
 - Only as much as necessary, not as possible!
 - Not everything is reasonable/possible
- A heavily loaded system does not like any change!
- Proper requirements analysis
 - Security feature not selected according to performance aspects
- Consider a real **regression test** in your environment



SSL and OpenSSL up to date



Passwords hashed with salt

Multi-factor authentication on the back-office





AES encryption on sensitive data

Preventing the PM from sending the whole unencrypted database by email





50

The biggest challenge is still to define the requirements and the appropriate security concept of the databases...

References

Not enough yet? Below a few links to explore the topic in more depth.

- Oracle® Database Advanced Security Guide 21c
 - Chapter 10.3 Performance and Storage Overhead of Transparent Data Encryption
 - Chapter 13.2 Performance Questions About Transparent Data Encryption
- <u>Swingbench</u> by Dominic Giles
- SecBench GitHub Repository <u>oehrlis/secbench</u> (still work in progress)
- Blog post <u>www.oradba.ch</u> I'll definitely stay on track and will write one or two other post about it.
- Oracle Database Unified <u>Audit Best Practice Guidelines</u>
- And all kind of Oracle Documentation and whitepapers.

Thank You